# THIS DECISION HAS BEEN APPEALED. THE FOLLOWING IS THE RELATED SOAH DECISION NUMBER:

#### **SOAH DOCKET NO. 453-03-3806.M2**

June 6, 2003

David Martinez TWCC Medical Dispute Resolution 4000 IH 35 South, MS 48 Austin, TX 78704

Austin, TX 78704	
MDR Tracking #: IRO #:	M2 03 1100 01 5251
Organization. The	led by the Texas Department of Insurance as an Independent Review Texas Worker's Compensation Commission has assigned this case to treview in accordance with TWCC Rule 133.308 which allows for olution by an IRO.
adverse determination records and docume	an independent review of the proposed care to determine if the on was appropriate. In performing this review, all relevant medical entation utilized to make the adverse determination, along with any written information submitted, was reviewed.
health care proconflicts of interest providers or any of prior to the referral	wed by a licensed (MD/DO/DC) who is board certified in The fessional has signed a certification statement stating that no known exist between the reviewer and any of the treating doctors or the doctors or providers who reviewed the case for a determination to for independent review. In addition, the reviewer has certified performed without bias for or against any party to the dispute.
	CLINICAL HISTORY

This patient was injured at work after lifting a banquet table. She suffered an immediate onset of low back pain in \_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_. She underwent a very long term and unsuccessful treatment program of conservative care. She underwent a laminectomy/discectomy at L5/S1 on April 2, 2002. At the current time, the patient is apparently undergoing a work hardening program under the direction of her treating doctor.

## REQUESTED SERVICE

The carrier has prospectively denied the medical necessity of an interferential and muscle stimulator.

#### **DECISION**

The reviewer agrees with the prior adverse determination.

#### BASIS FOR THE DECISION

While it cannot be denied that the patient does have a low back problem and it can neither be denied that the low back pain was serious enough to require a surgical intervention, one would be hard pressed to find any indication for a passive modality's usefulness at this point in this patient's recovery. To add a muscle stimulator on a patient who is undergoing a work hardening program would have little or no effect and there is no documentation in this package that would logically give a reason to allow for the purchase of such a product. As a result, medical necessity is not established by the requestor.

•
has performed an independent review solely to determine the medical necessity of the health services that are the subject of the review has made no determinations regarding benefits available under the injured employee's policy.
As an officer of, I certify that there is no known conflict between the reviewer, and/or any officer/employee of the IRO with any person or entity that is a party to the dispute.
is forwarding by mail and, in the case of time sensitive matters by facsimile, a copy of this finding to the treating doctor, payor and/or URA, patient and the TWCC.
Sincerely,

### YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to request a hearing.

In the case of prospective *spinal surgery* decision, a request for a hearing must be made in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within 10 days of your receipt of this decision. (20 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

In the case of other *prospective (preauthorization) medical necessity* disputes a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 148.3).

This decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.4(h) or 102.5(d). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Worker's Compensation Commission, P.O. Box 40669, Austin, TX 78704-0012. A copy of this decision should be attached to the request.

The party appealing this decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute, per TWCC rule 133.308(t)(2).

I hereby certify, in accordance with TWCC Rule 102.4 (h), that a copy of this Independent Review Organization decision was sent to the carrier, requestor, claimant (and/or the claimant's representative) and the TWCC via facsimile, U.S. Postal Service or both on this 6<sup>th</sup> day of June 2003.